

criteria and I sorted all proposals per the criteria. This in turn proved to be inconsistent due to the fact that some of the proposals submitted didn't follow directions when submitted etc.

Later that week the subcommittee turned in the rankings of the 4 proposals that fell under capacity.

Those requests included:

34 DGS Electronic Faculty Activity Reporting

39 Library Sustain current electronic subscriptions (increase base budget) and archive shelving: \$250,000 to cover projected reduction in ICR and former budget reallocations from other library department lines (primarily positions now filled); \$250,000 to install archival shelving; \$185,000 to cover projected increase in subscriptions (annual need 7-10% - fixed cost).

48 OIT/SW "UAF Infrastructure Upgrade & VoIP Implementation

Capital Funding Required in Phase 3 of 3"

49 OIT/SW High Priority FY13 Operating Needs & FY14 Adobe Audit Licensing Changes

For the next meeting dated 5/30/12 the subcommittees are tasked to:

Rank the budget requests in your subcommittee theme category.

Rank the budget requests in your subcommittee 2nd category, separately.

Rank from 1 (top choice) to higher numbers for less favored requests. You may stop numbering after a high number (e.g., 10); all un-numbered items will be assumed to be less favorably ranked than the numbered ones.

Soon the committee as a whole will be forwarding their recommendations to Chancellor Rogers and the Chancellor's cabinet.